



## You Know Global Elites Are Triggered When The Propaganda Institutions Collaborate To Refute “Mass Formation Psychosis”

### Description

Well, butter my buns and call me a biscuit... if this ain't the **biggest revealing tell in years.**

Apparently Big Tech and big propaganda media, Reuters and the Associated Press, have joined together to refute the concept of “Mass Formation Psychosis”, and pushed their [collective narrative](#) into the narrative engineering system:



The Associated Press – [SEE HERE](#) and Reuters – [SEE HERE](#), quickly rush to the “fact check” typeset to stop people from recognizing what is most likely the cause of their own psychosis. In a world where things are no longer shocking, this is, well, a little shocking, in a weird and seemingly Orwellian kind of way.

Yes Alice, the same “experts” and media who are credibly accused of creating/enabling the mass formation psychosis would like to assure us that no such reality exists. This is almost too funny.

*(AP) – [...] “The concept has no academic credibility,” Stephen Reicher, a social psychology professor at the University of St Andrews in the U.K., wrote in an email to The Associated Press. The term also does not appear in the American Psychological Association’s [Dictionary of Psychology](#).*

*“Psychosis” is a [term](#) that refers to conditions that involve some disconnect from reality. According to a National Institutes of Health [estimate](#), about 3% of people experience some form of psychosis at some time in their lives.*

*[...] The description of “mass formation psychosis” offered by Malone resembles discredited concepts, such as “mob mentality” and “group mind,” according to John Drury, a social psychologist at the University of Sussex in the U.K. who studies collective behavior. The ideas suggest that “when people form part of a psychological crowd they lose their*

*identities and their self-control; they become suggestible, and primitive instinctive impulses predominate,” he said in an email.*

*That notion has been discredited by decades of research on crowd behavior, Drury said. “No respectable psychologist agrees with these ideas now,” he said.*

*Multiple experts told the AP that while there is evidence that groups can shape or influence one’s behaviors — and that people can and do believe falsehoods that are put forward by the leader of a group — those concepts do not involve the masses experiencing “psychosis” or “hypnosis.” ([read more](#))*

Reuters offers this simultaneous rebuttal:

*([Reuters](#)) – “Mass formation psychosis” is not an academic term recognized in the field of psychology, nor is there evidence of any such phenomenon occurring during the COVID-19 pandemic, multiple experts in crowd psychology have told Reuters.*

*[...] There is no evidence to suggest a “mass formation psychosis” has occurred during the pandemic, experts told Reuters. The term itself is not recognised among academics, and modern research into crowd psychology has shown that crowds do not behave in mindless or non-individualistic ways. ([more](#))*

**Once a collective group creates an alternate reality of itself, in this case a totalitarian reality based on government needing to create an irrational illusion of fear that becomes part of the accepted national identity, how can anyone call attention to the outcomes without finding themselves in front of the board of inquisition who organizes the collective?**

Put another way... if the pod under your bed malfunctioned, but the pods under all the other beds in the city worked, what happens when you awaken and realize you are not one of *them*, but you must engage in the world of *them* while looking for others -like yourself- whose pods hopefully malfunctioned? That is the current challenge for anyone trying to communicate on contrary evidence and yet avoid the ire from the collective board of COVID compliance who have successfully brainwashed the audience.

[ZH: Oh wait, there’s this too...]

As a rather prescient Lewis Carroll shared so brilliantly in his novel of Alice, Through The Looking Glass:

***“If I had a world of my own, everything would be nonsense. Nothing would be what it is, because everything would be what it isn’t. And contrary wise, what is, it wouldn’t be. And what it wouldn’t be, it would. You see?”***

*Authored by ‘sundance’ via [TheConservativeTreehouse.com](#)*

**Category**

1. Main
2. Opinion-Comments
3. Politics-Geopolitics-Gov.-Events

## **Tags**

1. Big Tech

## **Date Created**

01/14/2022