

WEF's Net Zero Goals Could Kill 4+ Billion People

Description

WORLD: The "Net Zero" target to end fossil fuel use is part of the WEF and UN's "Agenda 2030" and "Agenda 2050" plans for humanity and involves dramatically reducing fossil reliance by 2030 and completely eliminating their use by 2050.

The WEF continues to push its agenda and has been calling for taxpayers worldwide to pay \$3.5 trillion per year, which they insist is necessary to fund the noble global power in order to meet the globalist organisation's "Net Zero" goal of "decarbonizing" the planet.

However, critics argue that "decarbonization" is just a euphemism for the WEF's anti-human agenda and experts are raising the alarm about what this will actually mean for civilization, which includes the death of over four billion people.

Starvation

Danish statistician Bjørn Lomborg is just one who has warned that ending fossil fuel use will lead to the deaths of around half of the world's population through starvation alone.

"4 billion people are dependent on fossil fertilizer for food," Lomborg notes. "Without, 4 billion will starve to death. "It is time to call out the astoundingly destructive & misanthropic campaigners," he declared.

The article Lomborg was responding to was by British economist Neil Record in the Telegraph, who argued that the number of people that might die was closer to six billion.

Six Billion Would Die in a Year.

Neil Record reported: "If we literally just stopped using fossil fuels and "did without the natural resources on which the world, its economies and populations depend, most likelysix billion people would die within a year."

Breaking down what would happen in a world without fossil fuels, Record notes that most people would suffer blackouts due to the grid being so seriously compromised, possibly fatally, and they may be widespread and permanent."

From day one, gas users would be the first to feel the change of no more mining of coal; the world's oil wells shut down; the world's gas fields likewise, and in 10 or 15 days, the UK would have to turn off its gas distribution system as it would be unable to maintain pressure.

In turn, the domestic supply would be shut down too – gas would stop flowing, and some 21 million households (74% of the population) would no longer have heating, hot water, and cooking facilities.

"In their panic, people might turn to electricity for their cooking and heating, but wait..." says Record, who adds, "The UK electricity grid relies on natural gas as its "buffer" energy source.

Every day, demand varies according to consumer demand, and the other main energy supplier, renewables, are highly variable and can only power the grid when gas is picking up the lion's share of the gap between their output and consumer demand.

So the moment that the main gas distribution system is de-pressurised, the grid-balancing system fails and power cuts ensue.

Electricity demand would have rocketed through the switch to electric space heating, cooking and water-heating, and so it seems very likely that the sudden excess demand would be undeliverable, and therefore that the grid would spiral into uncontrollability resulting in no electricity.

This also means there would be no communication systems no mobile phones and no television.

Without power, there will be no running water and no heating and the most vulnerable people will start to die, according to Record. Initially, this will be the elderly in their own homes, then in hospitals when the diesel backup generators run out of fuel. Within the first 25 days new "existential problems emerge for ordinary people in the form of food availability and distribution."

Starvation Begins From Day 25

Day 25 – By the twenty-fifth day, diesel and petrol are likely to have run dry, although, Record says that he is probably being generous with the timing here, but what this will mean is that food distribution would fail, and so as most of the population are entirely dependent on bought food they will begin to starve.

Interestingly, it will be only the isolated rural communities, and those who are agriculturally self-sufficient would be relatively unaffected.

Day 50 – Many people in urban areas would now be near death from starvation, Record claims, and "law and order would have broken down" and due to the "increasingly desperate search for the means of survival" he suspects there will have been "mass conflict and slaughter taking place.

Without the sanitary conditions that we have due to power, water supply, and sewage flow, we will see a return of Victorian diseases such as cholera and dysentery.

Day 100 – money and status will be irrelevant as time passes and just three months after the world stops oil, Neil Record, guesses that around half of the world's population of approximately four billion, people would be dead "The first to die would be the urban poor; then the middle and upper classes"

Again, the survivors would be those in rural areas and able to live off local agricultural produce or live off dwindling food stocks.

For fifty-five percent of people in urban areas, it will be almost impossible to access food and safe water as there will be none of the normal distribution routes for food or storage facilities (chillers/freezers) without electricity, and without pumped water, clean water would be unavailable, or close to impossible to access.

A Year Without Oil – Murder and Mayhem.

Day 365 – after a year without oil Record says "perhaps a further two billion people would have starved or frozen to death, leaving, say, two billion left alive remaining food stocks would have been exhausted or spoiled, and the inevitable breakdown of law and order would have meant many would meet a violent end."

"Competition for scarce resources, so elegantly solved by the invention of markets and prices, would be replaced by murder and mayhem"

There is now no going back as the means to reverse the just stop oil experiment would have gone.

"The mass extinction would have robbed societies of their cultures, education, and survival techniques. A new dark age would ensue" and as Record says this is a nightmarish scenario, but claims that everything in this scenario is well supported by fact.

Meadows Depopulation

Members of the WEF have repeatedly suggested that mass reductions in the number of humans on earth would help the organisation reach its green agenda goals, and as Slay News has reported, Dennis Meadows who is a "celebrated member of the WEF, has called for a staggering 86 percent reduction in the population of humans.

Meadows who is one of the main authors of the Club of Rome's 1972 pro-depopulation book "The Limits to Growth." and an honorary member of the Club of Rome as well as a member of the World Economic Forum. argues that the goal can be achieved "peacefully."

Meadow's book was published over 50 years ago, but his ideology appears to still align with the WEF's anti-human, depopulation agenda, of today. He argued that "most of the world's population must be wiped out so that the survivors can "have freedom" and a "high standard of living."

"The survivors" are a select few, however, and it is unlikely that many of us will be included. (It's that big club again).

One Way or Another

Experts who have warned of the dangers associated with stopping oil should not be ignored, it is blatantly obvious that our unelected leaders are not interested in our well-being.

Those who have been promised a privileged position in the depopulated world of the future, have many ways they hope to achieve their aims, whether it be through, chemtrails, vaccines, pharma products, toxic substances in food, water, etc etc, they aim to get us, one way or another and stopping oil is just one more way.

BY THE EXPOSÉ

Category

- 1. Control-Surveillance-Privacy-Censorship
- 2. Crime-Justice-Terrorism-Corruption
- 3. Disasters-Crisis-Depopulation-Genocide
- 4. ECO-Climate-Environment
- 5. Main
- 6. RECOMMENDED

Date Created

03/31/2024