

United Nations Admits World Hunger Is Necessary To Implement 'The Great Reset'

Description

WORLD: The United Nations was caught quietly scrubbing an article in which they admitted world hunger was a necessary evil in implementing the WEF's 'Great Reset' agenda.

It's 2008 op-ed, <u>"The Benefits of World Hunger"</u>, was quickly deleted hours after the article was discovered by internet sleuths this week.

In the op-ed, the UN touts the "benefits of world hunger:"

"We sometimes talk about hunger in the world as if it were a scourge that all of us want to see abolished, viewing it as comparable with the plague or aids. But that naïve view prevents us from coming to grips with what causes and sustains hunger. Hunger has great positive value to many people," wrote professor George Kent of the University of Hawaii.



UN Chronicle



The Benefits of World

<u>Infowars.com</u> reports: "Indeed, it is fundamental to the working of the world's economy. Hungry people are the most productive people, especially where there is a need for manual labour."

Kent goes on to say that without the "threat of hunger," the global economy would cease to exist.

"How many of us would sell our services if it were not for the threat of hunger? More importantly, how many of us would sell our services so cheaply if it were not for the threat of hunger?" Kent wrote. "When we sell our services cheaply, we enrich others, those who own the factories, the machines and the lands, and ultimately own the people who work for them. For those who depend on the availability of cheap labour, hunger is the foundation of their wealth."

"No one works harder than hungry people. Yes, people who are well nourished have greater capacity for productive physical activity, but well-nourished people are far less willing to do that work," he added.

If that wasn't psychotic enough, Kent point-blank admitted that the elites actually view food scarcity as an "asset," claiming that efforts to end global hunger "would be a disaster."

"For those of us at the high end of the social ladder, ending hunger globally would be a disaster. If there were no hunger in the world, who would plow the fields? Who would harvest our vegetables? Who would work in the rendering plants? Who would clean our toilets? We would have to produce our own food and clean our own toilets. No wonder people at the high end are not rushing to solve the hunger problem. For many of us, hunger is not a problem, but an asset."

There you have it: the global power structure must actually be pleased to see the emergence of food shortages as a result of a suspicious spate of food distribution plant disasters, "green" initiatives, regional conflict, supply chain breakdowns, and rampant inflation.

by Sean Adl-Tabatabai

Category

- 1. Crime-Justice-Terrorism-Corruption
- 2. Freedom-Free speech-Resitance & H-rights
- 3. Main
- 4. NWO-Deep State-Dictatorship-Tyrrany

Date Created

07/09/2022