



a. To discuss the publicity problem with liaises and friendly elite contacts (especially politicians and writers), pointing out that the Warren Commission made an thorough investigation if namely possible; that the charges of the critics are without serious foundation, and that further speculative discussion only plays into the hands of the opposition. Point out also that parts of the conspiracy talk appear to be deliberately generated by Communist propaganda, urge them to use their influence to discourage unfounded and irresponsible speculation.

b. To employ propaganda assets to answer and refute the attacks of the critics. Book reviews and feature articles are particularly appropriate for this purpose. The unclassified attachments to this guidance should provide useful background material for passage to assets. Our play should point out, as applicable, that the critics are (i) wedded to theories adopted before the evidence was in, (ii) politically interested, (iii) financially interested, (iv) hasty and inaccurate in their research, or (v) inextricably tied with their own biases. In the course of discussions of the whole phenomena of criticism, a useful strategy may be to single out Epstein's theory for attack, using the attached Fletcher Fabel article and Spectator piece for background. (Although Mark Lane's book is much less convincing than Epstein's and comes off badly where contested by knowledgeable critics, it is also much more difficult to answer -- a whole new hammer lost in a mess of unrelated details.)

## This 1967 CIA Memo Is Still Used to Discredit Conspiracy Theorists Today by Truthstream Media (VIDEO 11 min)

### Description

### Category

1. Crime-Justice-Terrorism-Corruption
2. Freedom-Free speech-Resistance & H-rights
3. Main
4. NWO-Deep State-Dictatorship-Tyrrany
5. Video

### Date Created

08/05/2022