

There Is No Climate Crisis: History Shows Us That The Earth Has Seen Far Worse

Description

USA/EU: Climate science has been so suffocated by ideological zealotry it's becoming difficult just to find normal objective analysis these days. Any piece of data that contradicts the manmade climate change narrative is surrounding by a spin machine that either dismisses the information or obscures it in a deluge of global warming propaganda, inoculating the reader well before they get a chance to digest the news that maybe climate change is not all it's cracked up to be.

Whenever high temperatures are reported in the US or Europe the news is hyperinflated into wild theories of climate Apocalypse by the media, but weather history suggests that the panic is fabricated rather than justified. In fact, any hot weather event you can pick out in recent years is likely overshadowed by a much worse event decades or centuries before "man-made carbon pollution" was ever a thing.

For example, the media is frantic over the current drought and "record temps" in Europe this summer, warning that it could become the "worst drought" in 500 years. Of course, this claim opens the door to a question that climate scientists and propagandists don't want to answer: What happened 500 years ago?

A similar level of global warming hysteria was present during a heat wave in Europe in 2003, as well as in 2018. The few climate scientists still not bought and paid for by governments and the UN have had to point out that these droughts are nothing compared to the living hell that was the drought of 1540. This event is often termed a "mega-drought" because the region suffered historically hot temps while receiving almost no rain for a year.

Temperatures that year averaged 5°C to 7°C above average temperatures in Europe in the 20th century. In US terms, that means daily summer temps of around 104°F. Hundreds of historic accounts written at the time describe around half a million deaths, along with vast wildfires and a winter in Italy that "felt like July." Keep in mind that carbon levels in Europe in 1540 were 30% LOWER than they are today, yet, the region suffered perhaps the worst warming event in its recorded history.

Today's climate data is based on records held by the NOAA and other institutions, and these records only go back to 1880. So, whenever you hear the mainstream media rant about record temperatures, they are using a tiny sliver of global weather history going back a little over a century. Any honest scientist in this field will tell you that the Earth's climate record is vast compared to the limited data used by global warming ideologues, and the majority of destructive weather crises have occurred well before man-made carbon emissions.

It certainly wasn't carbon pollution from cars, farming and industry that caused the crisis in 1540.



Try doing any research on the 1540 event and you will be buried in a pile of mainstream articles that acknowledge the disaster but then try to use it as an example of why we must comply with carbon restrictions and climate authoritarianism in 2022. They say "Look at what happened to Europe in 1540. You don't want that to happen again, do you?"

Of course, humanity had no say or control over the weather in 1540, just as we have no say or control over the weather today. There was no carbon based global warming back then, and there is no carbon based global warming now.

Scientists still have no idea what caused many of the warming events of the past including the crisis of 1540, so why should we have blind faith in their claims that carbon is the cause of warming in recent

years? In fact, the NOAA and other climate research institutions still offer no concrete proof of a relationship between carbon emissions and rising temperatures. Their argument is that they have excluded all other possible causes, leaving only carbon as the remainder. This is not science, this is haphazard guesswork.

If there was ever a field that defies the logic, reason and analysis commonly associated with the scientific method, it is climate science.

Set aside the fact that billions of dollars in funding are paid out to climate scientists every year, but only those scientists that operate from the assumption that climate change is caused by human beings. That is to say, there are numerous incentives for scientists to discount other causes for global warming. They are not scientists, they are paid political activists. Luckily, temperatures are not that high. The NOAA's own data shows that the average temperature of the Earth has risen less than 1°C in the past century. This is nothing, so why all the panic?

Let's just say that carbon controls are a powerful tool for micromanaging the population and justifying authoritarianism in the name of the "greater good." If the public is convinced to accept false climate change narratives, then government would have the ability to control every aspect of daily life, from the amount of electricity we use, to the food we eat, to the businesses we can run, to the level of production and the size of the population. This is not fiction this is reality, and it is happening much faster than many people realize, all in the name of saving the planet from a threat that doesn't exist.

by Tyler Durden

Category

- 1. ECO-Climate-Environment
- 2. Main

Date Created

08/19/2022