



Ted Cruz Grills Biden Supreme Court Pick Over CRT, Child Porn

Description

USA: Update (1647ET): As Tuesday's confirmation hearing of Ketanji Brown Jackson continued, Sen. Ted Cruz (R-TX) got into heated exchanges with the nominee over several issues – including her views on Critical Race Theory and her record on child predators.

When Cruz asked her opinions on CRT, referring to a 2020 speech she gave at the University of Michigan where she mentioned Nikole Hannah-Jones' revisionist 1619 project, Brown responded: “**It doesn't come up in my work as It's never something that I have studied or relied on,** and it wouldn't be something that I would rely on if I was on the Supreme Court.”

She also says she hasn't reviewed “any of those books” that are available at Georgetown Day School, where she sits on the board.

WATCH: Sen. Ted Cruz asks Judge Ketanji Brown Jackson if she believes babies are racist as suggested in the book “Antiracist Baby” by Dr. Ibrim X. Kendi, a book taught at a school on whose board she sits. pic.twitter.com/1yx0qPwegF

— Breaking911 (@Breaking911) [March 22, 2022](#)

Cruz also pressed her over her record on pedophiles.

Ted Cruz pulls out his white board to illustrate how in child pornography cases, Ketanji Brown Jackson gave the defendants an average 47.2% less sentence than what the prosecutors recommended. pic.twitter.com/7VUnbdAg2E

— Greg Price (@greg_price11) [March 22, 2022](#)

* * *

Update (1410ET): Sen. Marsha Blackburn (R-TN) slammed Jackson over her “endorsement of progressive indoctrination of our children” in Critical Race Theory materials.

MI MARSHA IS ON FUEGO!!!??? pic.twitter.com/oSDz3o3ZO0

— il Donaldo Trumpo (@PapiTrumpo) [March 22, 2022](#)

Meanwhile, Sen. Lindsey Graham (R-SC) indicated that he’ll be a “no” vote on Jackson – expressing frustration that his own home-state favorite was passed over for the nomination.

As *Bloomberg* reports, the Republican Senator asked Jackson questions about outside liberal groups backing her nomination, and at one point **asked about her religion** – to which she replied that she’s Protestant.

Graham asked if she could fairly judge a Catholic, to which she replied that it’s “very important to set aside one’s views” when it comes to judicial opinions.

Moments later, Graham made clear he was trying to point out how uncomfortable it was for Justice Amy Coney Barrett, who is Catholic, to be subjected to questions from Democrats about her religious views during a 2017 hearing when she was a nominee for a federal appeals court post.

“Judge Barrett I thought was treated very, very poorly and I just wanted to get that out there,” Graham said.

Later, Graham said he found it “problematic” that Jackson’s selection was supported by “so many of these left-wing radical groups that would destroy the law as we know it.”

Graham also sharply questioned Jackson over briefs she filed as a private lawyer involving accused terrorists being held at the U.S. naval base at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. He said one argument Jackson made would force the U.S. to release terrorists.

“You’re putting America in an untenable position,” he said, shortly before he hastily exited the hearing room when his turn at questioning ended. -Bloomberg

Sen. Lindsey Graham (R-SC) begins his questioning with “What faith are you, by the way?”

Then, this: “Can you fairly judge a Catholic?”

Then, this: “How important is your faith to you?”

Judge Jackson says: “There’s no religious test under the Constitution.”
pic.twitter.com/6SPRZZvoGe

— The Recount (@therecount) [March 22, 2022](#)

* * *

Supreme Court Nominee Judge Ketanji Brown Jackson **defended her record of giving pedophiles light sentences**, arguing that it's easier for them to procure large volumes through the internet – vs. the effort required to obtain child porn through the mail.



“The guideline was based originally on a statutory scheme and directives, specific directives from Congress at a time in which more serious child pornography offenders were identified based on the volume, based on the number of photographs they received in the mail. **And that made total sense before**, when you didn’t have the internet.

“When we didn’t have distribution. But the way that the guideline is now structured, based on that set of circumstances, is leading to extreme disparities in the system because it’s so easy for people to get volumes of this material now by computers. **So it’s not doing the work of differentiating who is a more serious offender in the way that it used to.**”

BREAKING: Jackson says she gave pedophiles lighter sentences bc its different when they use computers vs mail to get volumes of child porn

This makes ‘total sense’ according to Jackson pic.twitter.com/I1bMFDj2RO

— Jack Posobiec ?? (@JackPosobiec) [March 22, 2022](#)

Brown Jackson, who notably served as the judge in the ‘[Pizzagate](#)’ shooting, was responding to Sen. Josh Hawley’s (R-MO) claim last week that her legal record “endangers our children.”

“As a mother and a judge who has had to deal with these cases, I was thinking that nothing could be further from the truth,” Jackson said Tuesday. “These are some of the most difficult cases that a judge has to deal with because we’re talking about pictures of sex abuse of children, we’re talking about graphic descriptions that judges have to read and consider when they decide how to sentence in these cases.”

Judge Jackson is removing the consideration that every piece of child pornography has at least one victim that gets re-victimized every time someone views it. If there was no demand there would be no supply. She's only seeing it from the eyes of the criminal, not the victim.

— Jack Posobiec ?? (@JackPosobiec) [March 22, 2022](#)

Last week, Hawley posted a lengthy Twitter thread calling out Brown Jackson's record on child pornography:

As far back as her time in law school, Judge Jackson has questioned making convicts register as sex offenders – saying it leads to “stigmatization and ostracism.” She's suggested public policy is driven by a “climate of fear, hatred & revenge” against sex offenders pic.twitter.com/2QUcPOnWPR

— Josh Hawley (@HawleyMO) [March 16, 2022](#)

Judge Jackson has opined there may be a type of “less-serious child pornography offender” whose motivation is not sexual but “is the challenge, or to use the technology.” A “less-serious” child porn offender? pic.twitter.com/2bb1rZuTXW

— Josh Hawley (@HawleyMO) [March 16, 2022](#)

In United States v. Downs, the perp posted multiple images to an anonymous instant messaging app, including an image of a child under the age of 5. The Guidelines recommended 70-87 months. Judge Jackson gave him the lowest sentence allowed by law, 60 months

— Josh Hawley (@HawleyMO) [March 16, 2022](#)

So, should rapists who use speed dating apps get lower sentences too thanks to how easy the internet makes it to rape?

by Tyler Durden

Category

1. Main
2. Politics-Geopolitics-Gov.-Events

Date Created

03/23/2022