



'Sexism' Row Erupts Over Not Enough Parasitic Worms Being Named After Female Scientists

Description

USA: A sexism row has erupted over concerns that not enough newly discovered species of parasitic worms are named after women.

Yes, really.

Concerns are being raised as to whether "gender bias" has played a role in naming the creatures after a team of scientists led by parasitologist Robert Poulin "scoured studies in eight journals published between 2000 and 2020," reports [Sky News](#).

"Of the 596 species named after eminent scientists, only 111, or 19%, recognised women, according to the experts from New Zealand's University of Otago."

According to the study, published in the journal *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, "We found a consistent gender bias among species named after eminent scientists, with male scientists being immortalised disproportionately more frequently than female scientists."

Of course, the reason more of the creatures were named after male scientists is that men are more likely to choose to study science subjects in the first place.

Therefore there are more male scientists to choose from when deciding who to name species of creatures after.

It has absolutely nothing to do with "sexism," just as more men working on oil rigs or on construction sites is due to natural biological differences between men and women and the choices they make.

The fact that any of this is even being treated seriously is another damning indictment of how mainstream science has been warped by intersectional nonsense and the culture wars.

Men and women are different. They have different primary character traits and as a result are more

likely to want to pursue different careers and life choices.

That's not "sexist," it's scientific biological reality. Deal with it.

by Paul Joseph Watson

Category

1. Main
2. Racism-Trans/Gender-LGBTQ+-Sex. crimes
3. Science-Tech-AI-Medical & Gen. Research

Date Created

May 2022