



ONS' dirty tricks to cover up deaths after UK's mass Covid injection campaign began

Description



Weekly all cause death figures published by the UK's Office for National Statistics ("ONS") do not stand up to scrutiny. The evidence indicates we are missing a bunch of young deaths.

Joel Smalley has been exposing gaping holes in ONS data. "Many of us are trying really hard to report the Covid facts using the most reliable data we can access. Unfortunately, much of this data is not readily available. We have to use FOI requests and bespoke data requests (that we pay for out of our own pockets), simply to get useful data to work with," he wrote in a recent Substack.

Considering these gaping holes, John Dee is conducting an analysis of the data using a different approach to try to quantify the harm caused by Covid injections. "If the vaccines have been wreaking havoc as much as it would appear then we need to brace ourselves for an extraordinary degree of delay and/or cover-up," he concluded.

[Missing Deaths Exploration \(part 1\)](#) by [John Dee](#)

Background

The ONS prefer to publish mortality data by date of registration ("DOR") rather than date of death ("DOD"), this being a constant irritation to analysts chasing the harms and benefits of all that has transpired over the last 33 months. Whilst I touch upon the matter in several articles, [this article series HERE](#) succinctly captures the essence, revealing the ONS' woolly reasoning as to why they do this crazy thing.

Since it is a legal requirement in the UK to register all deaths within five days then we may assume DOR and DOD counts are not going to get totally out of kilter over time and this was borne out by my analysis. The biggest headache that DOR data causes are spikes and dips in the record that are substantial during holiday periods when registry offices within each diocese are closed.

The Biggest Headache of All

Spikes and dips within the DOR data record that arise from administrative matters are easy to iron out using various smoothing techniques. A preferable approach is to obtain counts by DOD but this must be done under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOI"), and the ONS charge for their time. Any normal person would consider this to be unreasonable and ask why such data are not provided to the tax paying public free of charge and as a matter of course.

A *bone fide* dude who has been piling on the FOI and lashing cash is Joel Smalley of *Dead Man Talking* fame, who once again alerts us to gaping holes in the ONS data record in THIS splendid article. Scroll down his series of slides and you'll see the death count for recent months evaporate as age declines.

There's a good reason for this and that is deaths in young folk are supposed to be rare, such that when they do happen, they tend to attract the attention of the coroner who has to rule out foul play, suicide and incidence of notifiable diseases. Thorough investigation of young death is vital for the future health of the nation and this process naturally incurs a time penalty. Hence Joel's holes, which are a right old headache for anybody trying to quantify vaccine harm.

A Baseline Approach

After cogitating upon Joel's FOI data, which may be found [HERE](#), I decided to derive a baseline series for each age group based upon the standard ONS technique of prior 5-year means just to get me going. There are pros and cons to this method, which I beef on about in [THIS](#) article.


Joel's data stretches back to 2014, which is a fair few years from 2022, and I bet that there are going to be bods who will point out that the population will have changed over this time frame, with each age band doing its own thing. To address this annoying wrinkle I pulled out my big table of annual mid-year population estimates by quinary age band and gender (source files for which may be found [HERE](#)) and used a modicum of linear interpolation to turn each year into constituent weeks. This even bigger table enabled me to derive factors with which to finely adjust raw death tallies over the period 2014 – 2022, thereby effectively standardising counts with respect to 2020 subpopulations.


This is one of those utterly mundane data processes that is not unlike the tedious task of peeling chestnuts for the upcoming festive chestnut stuffing. Now that it is done, I can bask in the knowledge of a good job done well, and maybe sip a dry sherry for good measure! For the curious I can reveal this made hardly any difference but at least we can point and grunt "age standardised!"


Observed Deaths vs. Baseline


In this first instalment I am going to reproduce just four slides that give a flavour a what is going on.


Hopefully these are self-explanatory, with the red line denoting observed weekly all cause deaths for each age group and the sea-green line denoting the prior 5-year mean on a week-by-week basis (baseline). Baselines for the pandemic years of 2021 and 2022 were based upon repeating the 2015 - 2019 pre-pandemic baselines; this being a technique that was adopted by the ONS, but which they subsequently dropped in order to “nudge” figures (my exposure of this dirty trick is discussed [HERE](#)). Try these for size...











Source: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/> [1] Prior 5-year mean, with the pre-pandemic period 2015 - 2019 serving as the baseline for 2021 and 2022.

The older age groups delineate the pandemic that was, with two dirty great red spikes sticking up through the sea of green. We should note just how unremarkable the winter of 2021/22 was with regard to seasonal illness, with the observed counts tracking the baseline of expected counts pretty well.

Once we get down to the 40 – 44y group we see a marked deviation between observed and expected counts from 2021/w51 onward. Given that the ONS updated these data on 23 November 2022 (week 47) then we may deduce processing delays of up to 49 weeks long (and perhaps longer). This is quite extraordinary.

Anecdotal evidence points to delays of several weeks and sometimes several months but we're talking the best part of a year. Is this simply a function of delays incurred through post-mortem of younger cadavers or is something else more sinister going on? Is the ONS deliberately trying to paint a rosy picture of modest death in the experimental gene therapy era? We'll probably never know.

On The Stove...

On the stove is a series of slides revealing the difference between observed and expected death for all age groups so we can get a better feel for what is going on here. Whilst these lead to initial estimates for the volume of missing death, these must necessarily be underestimates of the true picture, for we

can only judge matters with reference to a baseline. If the vaccines have been wreaking havoc as much as it would appear then we need to brace ourselves for an extraordinary degree of delay and/or cover-up. Until then... Kettle On!

About the Author

John Dee is a pseudonym for a former head of clinical audit at a busy NHS teaching hospital with specialism in clinical outcomes. Prior to this Dee headed a statistical modelling section as a G7 government scientist, providing consultancy for both public and private sectors.

Category

1. Crime-Justice-Terrorism-Corruption
2. Disasters-Crisis-Depopulation-Genocide
3. Health-Wellness-Healing-Nutrition & Fitness
4. Main
5. NWO-Deep State-Dictatorship-Tyrrany

Date Created

12/16/2022