



No, Antarctica's glaciers aren't shrinking

Description

The Wall Street Journal (WSJ) published an article deconstructing the myth that the polar ice caps are melting due to so-called “global warming” or “climate change.”

The piece explains that both science and media are misrepresenting the situation, as glaciers in Antarctica, the article's focus, constantly ebb and flow in size throughout the seasons.

Every year, the article explains, some 2,200 gigatons, or about 0.01 percent, of Antarctic ice “is discharged in the form of melt and icebergs, while snowfall adds almost the same amount.”

“The difference between the discharge and addition each year is the ice sheet's annual loss,” the piece reads. “That figure has been increasing in recent decades, from 40 gigatons a year in the 1980s to 250 gigatons a year in the 2010s.”

“But the increase is a small change in a complex and highly variable process.” (Related: Evidence shows that the Antarctic ice shelf is actually *increasing* in size.)

The WSJ article uses the example of Greenland, which has seen dramatic fluctuations in ice loss over the past century. The same is true in Antarctica, which overall is not losing any substantial amount of ice.

“... while the Antarctic losses seem stupendously large, the recent annual losses amount to 0.001% of the total ice and, if they continued at that rate, would raise sea level by only 3 inches over 100 years,” the article reveals.

Don't worry: Sea levels aren't going to rise because people are eating meat

Two studies that looked at Antarctic ice movement reveal that gains and loss in ice are complicated, and far more so than media and government let on.

Some years a lot more ice than normal melts, but then even more of it comes back during the next cycle. Sometimes the opposite progression of events is true.

Just like climate change, which is and always has been happening, polar ice movement is a dynamic event that is somewhat unpredictable. And you can be sure that cow flatulence has nothing to do with it.

Much of the official science behind all this ignores or contorts the truth. To push the climate change narrative, many papers simplify the situation to maximize the doomsday scenario, deliberately misleading the public.

Another thing is that Antarctica is *huge*, and knowing what truly happens there from year to year is impossible. Because of this, climate science resorts to models that make estimates and assumptions.

Coming from the perspective that *we're all gonna die if we don't convert to solar panels*, climate alarmists will accept these models as gospel truth, even when other models conflict.

Put simply, the official climate science is anything but sound. It often comes from the perspective of pushing a climate agenda, which is precisely what is happening with the fear and alarmism surrounding the Antarctic ice shelf.

There is no climate emergency. Sea levels are not going to rise from melting polar ice because melted ice always refreezes. It is a dynamic *cycle* that should not be feared.

"... it is a shame that the media misrepresents the research to raise alarm," Steven Koonin from the WSJ writes about climate science misdirection in the media.

"That denies the public the right to make informed decisions about 'climate action,' as well as the opportunity to marvel at the science itself."

In the comment section at *Natural News*, someone added to the conversation that there is, in fact, plenty of credible science out there to suggest that, if anything, polar ice is increasing in size over the course of time.

"The Antarctic ice pack has been growing for several hundred years," this person wrote. "Early explorers mapped the land under the ice somehow."

by: Ethan Huff

Category

1. ECO-Climate-Environment
2. Main

Date Created

09/24/2022