



New York Times urges readers to “mate with short people to stop climate change”

Description

Someone named Mara Altman who writes for *The New York Times* says that another way we can tackle the imaginary bogeyman known as “climate change” is to “mate with shorter people.”

Since short people are “smaller” in terms of their height, Altman feels as though reproducing more of them instead of tall people will “potentially sav[e] the planet by shrinking the needs of subsequent generations.”

“Lowering the height minimum for prospective partners on your dating profile is a step toward a greener planet,” Altman wrote in her not-to-be-confused-with-satire op-ed for one of America’s fastest-declining “news” outlets.

For some unknown reason, Altman is convinced that shorter people are “inherent conservationists,” which she argues “is more crucial than ever in this world of eight billion.”

“... if we kept our proportions the same but were just 10 percent shorter in America alone, we would save 87 million tons of food per year (not to mention trillions of gallons of water, quadrillions of B.T.U.s of energy and millions of tons of trash),” Altman further writes.

Because of their shortness, small-heighted people are “best suited for long-term survival” in a world that is rapidly collapsing, in Altman’s view. Being short will also come in handy when the time comes for everyone to “jam into spaceships when we are forced off this planet we wrecked.” (Related: Remember when the *Times* called for the United Nations to completely take over the United States?).

Altman advocates for targeting tall people with guns and drones because “being tall now just makes you a bigger target”

It is not enough to just breed out of the gene pool all tall people, according to Altman. It may also be necessary to eliminate all tall people who currently exist in order to save the planet from “global warming.”

“Our success as individuals does not depend on beating up other people or animals,” Altman says. “Even if it did, in an era of guns and drones, being tall now just makes you a bigger target.”

Because modern women like this now have an endless pool of emasculated simps to choose from in today’s America, Altman, despite her loud-and-proud insanity, is married with children. And she says one of her goals in “raising” her children is teach them “to know the value of short.”

She even advocates for depriving children of certain nutritional components that she believes contribute to a human body growing tall, strong, and healthy. Altman prefers depriving the next generation of children of nutrition so they can be short and thus “environmentally friendly.”

“Reporting the @nytimes for this disgusting tallphobia,” tweeted *CBN News* managing editor Dan Andros in response to Altman’s op-ed.

“Someone short and single is writing op-eds for *The New York Times*,” joked Seth Dillon of *The Babylon Bee*, a satire news outlet that could have easily written Altman’s op-ed as a joke and nobody would have known the difference.

“Short grooming is where we have to draw the line,” tweeted Brandon Hartsell, someone who apparently purchased a blue checkmark from the new Elon Musk-run Twitter, which charges a nominal monthly fee for this “privilege.”

“I’m going to quietly stick with being tall,” added Benjamin Ryan, a science reporter, cancer survivor, and himself contributor to the *Times* (as well as to *NBC News* and *The Guardian*).

With the way the *Times* normally advocates for flat-out genocide and depopulation, it is a wonder that Altman promotes any kind of mating at all.

“It’s a step down from ‘voluntary human extinction,’ an idea previously amplified by the *Times*, so perhaps this is progress,” commented Steve Watson for *NewsWars* about Altman’s article.

by: Ethan Huff

Category

1. ECO-Climate-Environment
2. Main

Date Created

01/09/2023