



New York Times Journo Makes Stunning Admissions About Jabs, Boosters, Lockdowns & Masks

Description

USA: According to an article from the [New York Times](#), the various mandates implemented to slow the spread of the coronavirus were not very effective. The mask mandates, staying at home, and vaccines did not have a significant impact on Covid case rates.

In a Wednesday morning newsletter, the New York Times stated that masks mandates, vaccines, and booster shots did not impact Covid case rates across the country where there were various levels of precautions to slow the spread of the virus.

In the article, they compared case rates between Democratic and Republican areas of the country. Democratic regions were known to have implemented more mandates to slow the spread. Despite those different mandates, the number of cases was similar to areas with fewer mandates.

“These factors seem as if they should have caused large differences in case rates. They have not. And that they haven’t offers some clarity about the relative effectiveness of different Covid interventions,” – David Leonhardt, author of the New York Times Newsletter.

Some of the most liberal cities in the country are still having restaurants seat at 40 percent capacity, while Republican-run cities are now seating at 100 percent capacity and are back to prepandemic times.

“Nationwide, the number of official Covid cases has recently been somewhat higher in heavily Democratic areas than Republican areas. There is a strong argument for continuing to remove other restrictions, and returning to normal life.” – David Leonhardt, author of the New York Times Newsletter.

However, vaccination rates were closely related to Covid death rates. In areas that voted for Donald Trump, there was almost double the amount of Covid deaths compared to areas that voted for Joe Biden in the 2020 presidential election.

“If a new variant emerges, and hospitals are again at risk of being overwhelmed, then reinstating Covid restrictions may make sense again, despite their modest effects. But that’s not where the country is today,” – David Leonhardt.

So, according to this article, all of the mandates enforced during the pandemic appeared to have little effect on slowing the spread of the coronavirus.

Red Voice Media would like to make a point of clarification on why we do not refer to any shot related to COVID-19 as a “vaccine.” According to the CDC, the definition of a vaccine necessitates that said vaccine have a lasting effect of at least one year in preventing the contraction of the virus or disease it’s intended to fight. Because all of the COVID-19 shots thus far available have barely offered six months of protection, and even then not absolute, Red Voice Media has made the decision hereafter to no longer refer to the Pfizer, Moderna, or Johnson & Johnson substances as vaccinations.

By Zach Heilman

Category

1. Health-Wellness-Healing-Nutrition & Fitness
2. Main
3. Politics-Geopolitics-Gov.-Events
4. Science-Tech-AI-Medical & Gen. Research

Date Created

03/11/2022