

Google owns the vaccine

Description

USA: In the video above, German attorney and co-founder of the German Corona Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee (Außerparlamentarischer Corona Untersuchungsausschuss1),2^{,2} Dr. Reiner Fuellmich,4 interviews Whitney Webb, an independent investigative reporter, about who's really behind YouTube's censorship of medical researchers and their published works.

He recounts how a medical doctor who after a great deal of trouble managed to get a risk-benefit analysis of mask mandates published in the Journal of Pediatrics. He created a short video about his findings, and within minutes of posting it to YouTube, the video was removed. What is actually going on here? Who is behind the censoring of peer-reviewed science? Who is trying to influence what?

Google Is Invested in the COVID 'Vaccine'

As noted by Webb, YouTube's parent company, Google, is directly invested in the AstraZeneca/Oxford COVID "vaccine." While the AstraZeneca jab has been framed as a not-for-profit product, this is far from true. The developers of this gene modification tool are Adrian Hill and Sarah Gilbert with the Jenner Institute for Vaccine Research.

While the Jenner Institute is the official developer of the shot, the actual patents and royalty rights for the AstraZeneca shot are held by a private company called Vaccitech, which was founded by Hill and Gilbert. Vaccitech's investors include:5·6·7·8

- Google Ventures
- The Wellcome Trust, which has longstanding links to the eugenics movement
- The British government
- BRAAVOS, a capital investment company set up by a Deutsche Bank executive. BRAAVO's
 investment is partially hidden, as BRAAVO is the main shareholder of Oxford Science Innovation,
 which in turn is invested in Vaccitech
- Chinese interests, including a Chinese bank branch and a drug company called Fosun Pharma

All of these investors stand to profit from this "vaccine" at some point in the near future, and Vaccitech

has been quite open about the future profit potential with its shareholders, noting that the COVID-19
shot will most likely become an annual vaccine that is updated each season much like the seasonal flu
vaccine.

Sure, AstraZeneca promised it would not make any profit from this COVID-19 vaccine, but there's a time limit on this pledge. The not-for-profit vow expires once the pandemic is over, and AstraZeneca itself can decide when that is.

Google Is Protecting Its Financial Stakes

Since Google has a direct financial interest in AstraZeneca's COVID-19 "vaccine," is it any wonder that its subsidiaries, like YouTube, are censoring information that threatens the future profitability of these products? I would think not.

More broadly, Silicon Valley has been pushing to transform the health care system as a whole into a system based on telemedicine and artificial intelligence (AI). Essentially, they're looking to replace doctors with AI-driven apps and the like.

"They've started to sort of reimagine health care as a way of taking control over people's lives, telling them it's for the benefit of the public, the collective, and also their personal health, whereas it's really a way to implement these transhumanist or technocratic technologies under the guise of that being a health-related venture," Webb says.

Google, of course, is intimately involved in all of this. They're also partnered directly with the U.S. military. "So, the fact that they're censoring stuff that goes against the narrative that they want to put forth on matters relating to public health ... really shouldn't surprise anyone," Webb says.

Johnson & Johnson

<u>Johnson & Johnson's COVID shot</u>, meanwhile, is manufactured by an American company called Emergent BioSolutions, which was previously called BioPort. According to Webb, BioPort was created as a spinoff of the British biodefence facility at Porton Down.

In her April 2020 article, "A Killer Enterprise: How One of Big Pharma's Most Corrupt Companies Plans to Corner the COVID-19 Cure Market," Webb details the scandal-ridden history of BioPort and its role in the 2001 anthrax attacks and the opioid crisis. The company was rebranded as Emergent BioSolutions in 2004. In the featured video, she says:

"They were intimately involved in what happened with the 2001 anthrax attacks, because it was basically the only way they were going to manage to save their mandatory — for U.S. military personnel — anthrax vaccine program," she says.

"They've been involved in scandals really ever since then ... but were chosen to manufacture [the Johnson & Johnson COVID shot] despite that, and the person they put in charge of quality control at this facility that was manufacturing these Johnson & Johnson vaccines has no experience in that at all, or really in the field of any sort of pharmaceutical

development or chemistry.

His background is being head of military intelligence teams for the U.S. military in Iraq and Afghanistan. [He] is also an expert on Iran and North Korea ...

More recently, the scandal that's developed in the U.S. with the Johnson & Johnson vaccine is that these batches were 'ruined,' they say basically unusable, and who knows what would have happened to people if that had been widely used ...

Of course, they gave Johnson & Johnson a pass on that, and the blame has been placed on Emergent BioSolutions, but of course, nothing has really been done to them as a company. They're intimately connected to the U.S. military and also to the CIA and a military contractor in Ohio, Battelle, which has a lot of ties to the anthrax attacks as well."

Many Unanswered Questions

One of several deep concerns raised in this interview is whether there are any independent controls or reviews of the contents of these COVID jabs. What's really in them? Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg, German physician and epidemiologist, asks. They're all used under emergency use authorization (EUA), which allows many standard controls to be bypassed.

Wodarg wonders whether the drug industry may simply be using the EUA to learn more about how the mRNA technology actually works, using the public as guinea pigs.

Normally, there are very strict rules and regulations surrounding the use of gene modification technology in humans. It's only because they're calling them "vaccines" that they were able to get the EUA that allows a lot of standard safety regulations to be bypassed.

Wodarg points out that, based on the declared ingredients, there does not appear to be any way to explain the magnetism that thousands of people around the world are now reporting, where magnets, keys, cutlery, coins, cellphones and any number of other things stick to their bodies.

The phenomenon appears to begin right around the injection site but, then, after a number of weeks, more or less their whole body becomes magnetized (although "magnetized" isn't entirely accurate, as many nonmagnetic items will stick to their bodies).

DARPA is heavily invested in transhumanist technologies for the use in soldiers, including brainmachine interfaces and other even more extreme ideas. They recently teamed up with the Wellcome Trust to create something called 'Wellcome Leap,' a rather unsettling movement to usher in transhumanism.

So, who controls what goes into these shots? Wodarg points out that some injections have been found to be nothing but saline, which suggests some people are actually getting a placebo injection, even though they're being told they're getting the real thing and they've not signed up for a formal trial.

Are "undercover" studies being performed that we've not been told about? There are many unanswered questions about what's really going on with this COVID "vaccine" rollout. Webb comments:

"There definitely needs to be more attention given to the manufacturers of the vaccine because the developers ostensibly just develop the formula, which is then given to the manufacturers who actually produce and create the vaccine that is injected into people.

In the case of the U.S., the main manufacturer, not just for the Johnson & Johnson vaccine, [but also] a few others, is that same company, Emergent BioSolutions, which has an awful track record. The Pentagon lost a lawsuit in 2004 where they were accused of using U.S. military personnel as lab rats in an experimental off-label use of that particular anthrax vaccine they were producing ...

BioPort, now Emergent BioSolutions, have a lot of interlocking ties with the U.S. military, and also with the department of health and human services. In terms of the mRNA technology, I definitely agree that they seized on this opportunity to use it more widely. So, the hidden hand, I would argue, with the mRNA vaccine, is the U.S. military.

If you look at both the Pfizer and Moderna mRNA technology, those both really started with a significant investment in 2013, to both companies, from DARPA [Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency], which is the advanced research branch of the U.S. military ..."

Google's 'DARPA' Program

DARPA, Webb says, is also heavily invested in transhumanist technologies for the use in soldiers, including brain-machine interfaces and other even more extreme ideas. They recently teamed up with the Wellcome Trust to create something called "Wellcome Leap," a rather unsettling movement to usher in transhumanism.

As mentioned, the Wellcome Trust has deep roots in the eugenics movement, making the collaboration doubly disturbing. For more on this, read Webb's investigative report "A 'Leap' Toward Humanity's Destruction."10

Now, the CEO of Wellcome Leap, Regina Dugan,11 worked at DARPA. She began working there in 1996 and between 2009 and 2012 served as its first female director. She was the one who greenlighted the 2013 DARPA funding to Pfizer and Moderna. In 2012, she left DARPA to create a DARPA equivalent for Google called Advanced Technology and Projects (ATAP).

She later took on a similar project at Facebook, called Building 8. Wellcome Leap is basically slated to be a "global health DARPA," Webb says, with all the transhumanist connotations that brings.

Getting back to the issue of undercover experiments taking place in an unsuspecting public, Wodarg is very concerned that COVID-19 "vaccine" makers may be experimenting with various amounts of lipid

nanoparticles, which could help explain some of the acutely lethal effects, and perhaps even the transfer phenomenon that appears to be occurring between vaccinated individuals and unvaccinated ones.

Of course, we don't know if secret comparison trials are being done without our knowledge. What we do know is that Moderna has been working on mRNA vaccine technology for many years, and had been unable to solve the nanolipid toxicity problem. When the dosage was too low, the mRNA didn't stick around long enough for the drug to work, and when too high, it became toxic.

Despite years of work, they were never able to determine an effective nontoxic dose of mRNA in nanolipid. At least they never announced success. Now we're supposed to take their word that they got it all figured out in less than a year? No, most likely, they never did figure it out and are using the cover of the pandemic to release an untested vaccine on the public under the guise of emergency use authorization.

Effective nontoxic dosing is probably what the public COVID vaccination campaign is going to help them determine, so that knowledge can then be applied to other gene modification drugs and vaccines. It's convenient in the extreme, seeing how they are not accountable for any of the damage and death their products are causing, and their unremunerated human test subjects now number in the billions.

What Is the Vaccination Campaign Really About?

According to Fuellmich, all the evidence currently suggests we're not actually dealing with a medical emergency that would warrant the use of these gene modification tools, so the question is, why are they being pushed in such an unprecedented manner? There must be a reason for it, and if it's not to address a medical emergency then what is it? Webb weighs in, saying:

"The Silicon Valley push to remake health care, a key part of that is what they call precision medicine ... They describe it as medications and vaccines and gene therapies targeted to the individual, i.e., targeted to an individual's own genome. This is why we're seeing this increase, under the guise of COVID-19 testing, of this huge effort to amass genetic data of people across the world.

Of course, a lot of this is actually being held by the same Silicon Valley companies. In the case of the Western [part of] the U.S., a lot of COVID-19 testing has been done by Verily, which is a Google subsidiary, which at the same time is trying to make their AI health care based on this genetic data.

A lot of those same technologies for precision medicine also come from the U.S., military [and] involve predictive diagnoses where they say, based on an AI algorithm, you are likely to have this disease, whether it's COVID or cancer or anything else, before you actually show symptoms of it.

That's being co-developed right now by Google in a part of the military called the Defense Innovation Unit. There are lots of other examples of this going on. And so, I would argue that the wide-ranging use of these RNA vaccines, and treating them as regular vaccines

instead of ... gene therapy, is a way to normalize the same type of Silicon Valley-based precision medicine that they want to be the new normal in healthcare around the world."

As you begin to unravel the interconnected web of players involved in this global <u>vaccination campaign</u>, you keep coming back to two key movements: the transhumanist movement and the eugenics movement, which in the mid-1950s actually began to merge. As noted by Fuellmich, it appears we're observing "the coming out of a very long-running strategy" to reduce the population and alter those who are left.

"Yes, absolutely," Webb says. "If you look back to someone like Julian Huxley, the [founding] director general of UNESCO and former president of the British Eugenics Society, which still exists today. It's called the Galton Institute. They didn't rename until 1989.

Adrian Hill of the AstraZeneca vaccine spoke at their 100-year anniversary, celebrating 100 years of ... eugenics. The Wellcome Trust houses their archive, which they think is a great use to medicine in general.

Going back to Julian Huxley, in 1946 he said we should make the unthinkable thinkable again. Roughly 10 years later, he coined the term transhumanism and said that gene editing as a eugenics science needed to be applied along with ... efforts to merge humans with machines as a way to create a new human being or human being 2.0 ...

Recently, one of their board members ... [published] a book that was actually positively reviewed and the UK press about eugenics in the 21st century. Front and center are these gene editing 'medicines' ... I think it's about control, and, ultimately ... about eugenics."

Webb goes on to discuss the January 2020 meeting of technocratic elites in Davos, Switzerland, at which an Israeli keynote speaker, Yuval Harari, warned we are entering an age of digital dictatorship where humans "are no longer mysterious souls — we are now hackable animals,"12 through the use of genetic engineering and advances in brain machine interface and technology. Needless to say, he urged the World Economic Forum members to make wise use of this technology.

Fruitful Dissent

It's a very interesting discussion so, if you have the time, please do listen to the whole interview. In closing, Webb suggests that probably the best, most effective form or resistance is counter-economics. To joint together with others to produce what you need to survive, independent of the centralized systems and corporations that seek to control us.

"The most powerful protest at this point is going to be an economic protest," Webb says. "Governments around the world are just waiting for more violent protest or riots. They have lots of tools and plans to deal with those. For example, in the U.S., they're launching a war

on domestic terror that is obviously going to target dissidence, from the way it is written ...

That is the type of response that they're expecting, whereas a passive nonviolent protest of economic resistance and counter-economics, just becoming independent of these people trying to build these systems [of control], I think is the most effective way to really counter it at this point.

And I think a broader counter-economics movement, in addition to a larger movement of people not consenting and just not engaging with the system, is something they fear a lot more, [which] I think could be really powerful."

by Tapestry

Category

1. Main

Tags

- 1. Afrika
- 2. Pakt o migraci OSN
- 3. Somálski migranti

Date Created

07/27/2021