



“Globalists Are Marching Us Relentlessly Toward Nuclear Armageddon,” Warns Former Senator

Description

Fears of nuclear war are increasing across the West as Russia mobilizes hundreds of thousands of troops and declares annexation of parts of Ukraine. Meanwhile, President Volodymyr Zelensky announced that Ukraine is applying for membership in NATO. These two developments could be the most significant escalation since the war's start.

Today's developments are a sobering reminder that nuclear war threats are mounting. Retired Virginia State Senator and retired Marine Col. Richard Black addressed members of the US Congress in an open letter on Tuesday about “**globalists are marching us relentlessly toward this nuclear Armageddon.**”

Black pointed out:

There would have been no war had we not overthrown the democratically-elected government of Ukraine by violently ousting President Yanukovich in 2014. We promoted war by flooding Ukraine with massive arms shipments afterwards.

The former senator said, “the US could have achieved peace by simply pressing Ukraine to implement the 2014 Minsk Peace Agreements which it had signed, establishing a clear framework for settling outstanding issues peacefully. Ukraine promised to implement the Minsk agreements, but chose instead to make war on the Donbass for the next seven years.”

He said NATO could've sought peace but chose war instead.

NATO had ample opportunity for peace but deliberately chose war. The US realized that, with Russia's back to the wall, it would have no choice but to attack. In 2007, US Ambassador to Russia William Burns pointedly warned that movement toward absorbing Ukraine into NATO might well trigger war between Ukraine and Russia. Nonetheless, the Obama administration overthrew the Ukrainian president and flooded in weapons, knowing

that doing so would trigger war.

Black said **billionaire elites** who have an interest in the region are **making “war profits** even if it means gambling the lives of hundreds of millions of people across the globe.”

“Should we annihilate the world’s population to intervene in a border war where the US has no vital national interest?” the former senator asked.

Black called for an **immediate end to this war by making Ukraine a neutral, non-aligned state**, “just as we did during the Cold War with Austria in 1955.”

But it appears the former senator’s plea to avoid further conflict went unheard after Zelensky’s [declared](#) intent to apply for expedited NATO membership as President Putin proclaimed the annexation of 15% of Ukraine.

Based on **Article 5, any acceptance of Ukraine into NATO would automatically trigger a Russia-West world war (WWIII).**

In a speech Friday, Putin said the US created a “precedent” by using nuclear weapons against Japan during WW2.

Last week, Navy Admiral Charles A. Richard – currently serving as the US Strategic Command chief — [warned](#) that “possible direct armed conflict with a nuclear-capable peer” could be ahead.

Here’s the former senator’s open letter to lawmakers on Capitol Hill:

SENATOR RICHARD H. BLACK (RET.)

COLONEL RICHARD H. BLACK (RET.)

Post Office Box 3026
Leesburg, VA 20177
Website: www.SenatorBlack.com
Email: SenatorBlack@usa.net
Cell: (703) 303-1577



FORMER COMM

Agriculture, Conserva
Rehabilitation
General Law
Education
Commerce
Court
Trans

September 27, 2022

Open Letter to Members of the United States Congress

Dear Representatives and Senators:

I am troubled by the loose talk about launching a nuclear attack on Russia. From time to time, senior Republicans and Democrats have suggested employing nuclear weapons. This appears to be a deliberate effort to acclimate Americans to the prospect of nuclear war.

Now, we have Zelensky's office jumping on too. On September 21, Newsweek ran this headline: *U.S. Needs to Threaten Russia With Nuclear War Over Ukraine*. The article quotes Mykhailo Podolyak, Zelensky's senior aide, as saying, "The other nuclear states need to say very firmly that as soon as Russia thinks of carrying out nuclear strikes on foreign territory—in this case the territory of Ukraine—there will be swift retaliatory nuclear strikes to destroy the nuclear launch sites in Russia."

Of course, it is impossible to limit retaliatory nuclear strikes to destroying only nuclear launch sites. Not only would damage be widespread, but Russia would be forced to respond in kind to threats targeting its nuclear deterrence capabilities. Russia would launch an immediate, massive nuclear response, including a barrage of ground-based hypersonic missiles and submarine-launched ballistic missiles. Each Russian submarine would shower down 100 nuclear warheads, sufficient to incinerate the entire National Capital Region or the Western European industrial heartland.

As the Ukrainian War drags on, globalists are marching us relentlessly toward nuclear Armageddon. Why?

<https://moderndiplomacy.eu/2018/06/04/how-and-why-the-u-s-government-perpetrated-the-2014-coup-in-ukraine/>

The U.S. could have achieved peace by simply pressing Ukraine to implement the 2014 Minsk Peace Agreements which it had signed, establishing a clear framework for settling outstanding issues peacefully. Ukraine promised to implement the Minsk agreements, but chose instead to make war on the Donbass for the next seven years. Ukraine's attacks killed 14,000 people before Russia even entered the war.

Within two months after Russia crossed into Ukraine, Russia and Ukraine were finalizing a draft peace agreement. However, Prime Minister Boris Yushchenko suddenly flew to Kiev to block its implementation, undoubtedly coordinating with the U.S. State Department beforehand. War would continue, regardless of the parties' longing for peace.

NATO had ample opportunity for peace but deliberately chose war. The U.S. realized that, with Russia's back to the wall, it would have no choice but to attack. In 2007, U.S. Ambassador to Russia William Burns pointedly warned that movement toward absorbing Ukraine into NATO might well trigger war between Ukraine and Russia. Nonetheless, the Obama administration overthrew the Ukrainian president and flooded in weapons, knowing that doing so would trigger war. [See: https://wikileaks.org/plusd/cables/08MOSCOW265_a.html].

Today, wealthy globalists have billions at stake, and they intend to have the profits even if it means gambling the lives of hundreds of millions of people across the globe.

Few Americans knew anything about Ukraine before February 24th. Was Ukraine in South America, Asia, Africa, or Europe? Many Americans couldn't answer that question. But now, in order to address a local border dispute on the other side of the globe, war hawks demand concrete steps toward a nuclear war that might exterminate 60% of humanity, plunging mankind into a primitive state.

Presidents Eisenhower, Kennedy, Johnson, Nixon, Ford, Carter, Reagan, and Clinton all approached nuclear confrontation with utmost caution. But the Obama administration changed course when it recklessly overthrew the Ukrainian government in 2014 and flooded Ukraine with weapons aimed at Russia. It was knowing that it was threatening Russia's most vital national interest: the defense of its territory against nuclear aggression.

Now, the Biden administration threatens to cast caution to the winds. Many

missiles in response to Russia's use of such weapons to defend its territory. In other words, we would destroy the entire world as our way of saying, "Oh, Well take this!"

Would simple revenge justify killing hundreds of millions of unknowing people? Should we annihilate the world's population to intervene in a border war where the U.S. has no vital national interest?

The U.S. can promptly end this war by making Ukraine a neutral, non-aligned country, just as we did during the Cold War with Austria in 1955. Yes, there would be territorial adjustments resulting from the war. But peace would end the ongoing bloodshed, avert a nuclear conflagration, and assure Ukraine's long-term stability and independence.

Nuclear war is unthinkable; peace is the better course of action. Please consider it.

Sincerely,



Senator Dick Black (ret.)

by Tyler Durden

Category

1. Army-Wars-Conflict Zones-Military Tech.
2. Main
3. Survival-Prepping-Security

Date Created

10/02/2022