



Face Masks Cause Harm: The Full Consequences of Prolonged Mask Use Are Only Just Beginning to Be Understood

Description

 [Print](#)  [PDF](#)  [Email](#)

USA: With research showing not only that masks don't protect you but may actually make you sick, the rationale behind their widespread mandated usage must be questioned.

Using CDC data, no significant differences were found in Covid-19 case growth between states with or without mask mandates, during periods of low or high transmission.

The widespread use of masks did not reduce Covid-19 transmission in Europe, and a moderate positive correlation was found between mask usage and deaths in Western Europe.

An update to a CDC study on school mask mandates, using nearly six times more data, found no significant relationship between mask mandates in US schools and Covid-19 case rates.

In Kansas, counties with a mask mandate had significantly higher Covid-19 case fatality rates than counties without a mask mandate.

One way masks cause harm may be the "Foegen effect" — the idea that deep re-inhalation of droplets and virions caught on facemasks might make Covid-19 infection more likely or more severe.

By Dr. Joseph Mercola

During the Covid-19 pandemic, 80% of US states mandated masks to slow the spread of SARS-CoV-2, but accumulating research shows mask mandates and use do not lower the spread of the virus.¹

While rules requiring masks did increase compliance, they didn't translate to lower transmission growth rates, whether community spread of SARS-CoV-2 was low or high.

Even before Covid-19 was declared a pandemic, mask mandates were put in place without ever properly evaluating the efficacy, but that didn't stop them from dividing communities and being used as a form of virtue signalling and a visible reminder of compliance with the "new normal."

Now, with research showing not only that masks don't protect you but may actually make you sick, the rationale behind their widespread mandated usage must be questioned.

Mask Mandates Didn't Lower Covid-19 Cases

Using CDC data, researchers with the University of Louisville calculated total Covid-19 case growth and mask use for the US. No significant differences were found in case growth between mandate and non-mandate states during periods of low or high transmission.

"Surges were equivocal," they noted, concluding, "Mask mandates and use are not associated with slower state-level Covid-19 spread during Covid-19 growth surges."² While stating that their findings "do not support the hypothesis that SARS-CoV-2 transmission rates decrease with greater public mask use," they did note that "masks may promote social cohesion as rallying symbols during a pandemic."³

Similarly dismal results from mask mandates were demonstrated in Europe. A study published in Cureus analysed data from 35 European countries, including morbidity, mortality and mask usage, over a six-month period. The researchers noted:⁴

"Mask mandates were implemented in almost all world countries and in most places where masks were not obligatory, their use in public spaces was recommended ... These mandates and recommendations took place despite the fact that most randomised controlled trials carried out before and during the Covid-19 pandemic concluded that the role of masks in preventing respiratory viral transmission was small, null, or inconclusive."

When the data were analysed, the study also revealed that the widespread use of masks did not reduce Covid-19 transmission. Worse, a moderate positive correlation was found between mask usage and deaths in Western Europe, which "suggests that the universal use of masks may have had harmful unintended consequences."⁵

Mask Mandates in Schools Didn't Reduce Covid-19 Cases

As part of the government-sponsored propaganda campaign, a widely cited CDC study, published in October 2021, reported that counties without school mask mandates had larger increases in Covid-19 case rates in children after the start of school compared with counties that had school mask mandates.⁶

The study was used to support school mask mandates, but a team of researchers revisited the research, incorporating a larger sample size and longer study period. The updated study,⁷ published in May 2022, used nearly six times more data compared to the original study and found no significant relationship between mask mandates in US schools and Covid-19 case rates. According to the researchers:⁸

“We failed to establish a relationship between school masking and pediatric cases using the same methods but a larger, more nationally diverse population over a longer interval. Our study demonstrates that observational studies of interventions with small to moderate effect sizes are prone to bias caused by selection and omitted variables. Randomised studies can more reliably inform public health policy.”

On Twitter, surgeon and public policy researcher Dr. Marty Makary pointed out that the CDC’s original study appeared to include cherry-picked data and the agency refused to publish an update using the more extensive data:⁹

“This study demonstrates how the CDC was cherry-picking data to support their school mask dogma. The article states that CDC’s MMWR journal rejected publishing this re-analysis. Most likely because it exposed the CDC’s salami-slicing of data & use of science as political propaganda.”

It should be noted that a previous CDC study found mask requirements for students had little effect on Covid-19 incidence in Georgia schools, while improved ventilation, such as opening a window, reduced cases more than mask mandates for staff and teachers.¹⁰

The Foegen Effect: Mask Mandates Increased Covid-19 Deaths

A profoundly important study was conducted by German physician Dr. Zacharias Fögen to find out whether mandatory mask use influenced the Covid-19 case fatality rate in Kansas from August 1, 2020, to October 15, 2020.¹¹ He chose the state of Kansas because, while it issued a mask mandate, counties were allowed to either opt-in or out of it.

His analysis revealed that counties with a mask mandate had significantly higher case fatality rates than counties without a mask mandate. “These findings suggest that mask use might pose a yet unknown threat to the user instead of protecting them, making mask mandates a debatable epidemiologic intervention,” he concluded.

That threat, he explained, may be something called the “Foegen effect” — the idea that deep re-inhalation of droplets and virions caught on facemasks might make Covid-19 infection more likely or more severe.

“The fundamentals of this effect are easily demonstrated when wearing a facemask and glasses at the same time by pulling the upper edge of the mask over the lower edge of the glasses. Droplets appear on the mask when breathing out and disappear when breathing in.”

“In the “Foegen effect,” the virions spread (because of their smaller size) deeper into the respiratory tract. They bypass the bronchi and are inhaled deep into the alveoli, where they can cause pneumonia instead of bronchitis, which would be typical of a virus infection.

Furthermore, these virions bypass the multilayer squamous epithelial wall that they cannot pass into in vitro and most likely cannot pass into in vivo. Therefore, the only probable way for the virions to enter the blood vessels is through the alveoli.”

Wearing Masks Could Be Related to Long Covid

Fögen explained that wearing masks could end up increasing your overall viral load because, instead of exhaling virions from your respiratory tract and ridding your body of them, those virions are caught in the mask and returned. This might also have the effect of increasing the number of virions that pass through the mask, such that it becomes more than the number that would have been shed without a mask.

The fact that “hyper condensed droplets and pure virions in the mask might be blown outwards during expiration, resulting in aerosol transmission instead of droplet transmission” is another issue that could make transmission worse instead of better, and the use of “more protective” masks could also backfire, making Covid-19’s long-term effects worse. Fögen explained:¹³

“The use of “better” masks (e.g., FFP2, FFP3) with a higher droplet-filtering capacity probably should cause an even stronger “Foegen effect” because the number of virions that are potentially re-inhaled increases in the same way that outward shedding is reduced.

Another salient point is that Covid-19-related long-term effects and multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children may all be a direct cause of the “Foegen effect.” Virus entry into the alveoli and blood without being restricted to the upper respiratory tract and bronchi and can cause damage by initiating an (auto) immune reaction in most organs.”

Clear Risks of Prolonged Mask Use

Two expert reports spoke out against the use of masks for children in 2021. The first, a psychology report,¹⁴ stated that masks are likely to be causing psychological harm to children and interfering with development.¹⁵ “The extent of psychological harm to young people is unknown,” the report stated, “due to the unique nature of the ‘social experiment’ currently underway in schools, and in wider society.”¹⁶

The second report focused on health, safety and well-being,¹⁷ noting potential permanent physical damage to the lungs caused by fibrosis from inhalation of fibrous nanoparticles.

“There are real and significant dangers of respiratory infection, oral health deterioration and of lung injury, such as pneumothorax, owing to moisture build-up and also exposure to potentially harmful levels of an asphyxiant gas (carbon dioxide [CO₂]) which can cause serious injury to health,” the authors explained.¹⁸

Normally, the CO₂ then dissipates into the air around you before you take another breath. In the open air, carbon dioxide typically exists at about 400 parts per million (ppm), or 0.04% by volume.

The German Federal Environmental Office set a limit of CO₂ for closed rooms of 2,000 ppm, or 0.2% by volume. If you’re wearing a facemask, the CO₂ cannot escape as it usually does and instead becomes trapped in the mask. In a study published in JAMA Pediatrics, researchers analysed the CO₂

content of inhaled air among children wearing two types of masks, as well as wearing no mask.¹⁹

While no significant difference in CO2 was found between the two types of masks, there was a significant elevation when wearing masks compared to not wearing them. CO2 in inhaled air under surgical and filtering facepiece masks came in between 13,120 ppm and 13,910 ppm, “which is higher than what is already deemed unacceptable by the German Federal Environmental Office by a factor of 6,” the researchers noted.²⁰

Also important, this level was reached after only three minutes, while children wear masks at school for a mean of 270 minutes at a time. Even the child who had the lowest measured CO2 level had a measurement three-fold greater than the closed room CO2 limit of 0.2%. However, younger children appeared to have the highest CO2 values; a level of 25,000 ppm was measured from a 7-year-old wearing a facemask.²¹

Bacterial Infection Risk, Problems with Social Learning

The full consequences of prolonged mask use are only beginning to be understood. The University of Louisville researchers noted, however, that using a mask for more than four hours per day “promotes facial alkalinisation and inadvertently encourages dehydration, which in turn can enhance barrier breakdown and bacterial infection risk.”²² Other reported adverse effects include:²³

Increase in headaches and sweating	Decreased cognitive precision
Association with medical errors	Interference with social learning in children
Obscured nonverbal communication	Distorted verbal speech
Removal of visual cues, which is detrimental to people with hearing loss	

After a lawsuit was brought by Leslie Manookian’s Health Freedom Defence Fund (HFDF), US District Judge Kathryn Kimball Mizelle finally voided the CDC’s US mask mandate on aeroplanes and public transit in April 2022.²⁴ The US Department of Justice (DoJ) has appealed the court order,²⁵ however, making it clear that they don’t intend to give up on mask mandates without a fight. In response, HFDF issued the following statement:²⁶

“DoJ’s statement [that it would appeal] is perplexing to say the least and sounds like it comes from health policy advocates not government lawyers. The ruling by the US District Court ruling is a matter of law, not CDC preference or an assessment of ‘current health conditions.’

If there is in fact a public health emergency with clear and irrefutable science supporting CDC’s mask mandate, does it not warrant urgent action? Why would DoJ and CDC not immediately appeal?

HFDF is left with no option but to conclude that the Mask Mandate is really a political matter and not at all about urgent public health issues or the demands of sound science. While DoJ and CDC play politics with Americans’ health and freedoms, HFDF trusts individual

Americans to make their own health decisions.

HFDF is confident that Americans possess ample common sense and education to understand that there are real questions about mask efficacy and risk and that CDC's policy reflects neither."

Sources and References

- 1, 2, 3, 22, 23 [MedRxiv May 25, 2021](#)
- 4, 5 [Cureus. 2022 Apr; 14\(4\): e24268](#)
- 6 [MMWR October 1, 2021](#)
- 7, 8 [The Lancet May 25, 2022](#)
- 9 [Townhall June 1, 2022](#)
- 10 [U.S. CDC, Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report May 21, 2021](#)
- 11 [Medicine February 18, 2022](#)
- 12, 13 [Medicine February 18, 2022, 4.1](#)
- 14, 16 [Psychology Report in respect of Civil Proceedings April 9, 2021](#)
- 15 [Express April 11, 2021](#)
- 17, 18 [Health, Safety and Wellbeing Report in respect of Civil Proceedings April 9, 2021](#)
- 19, 20, 21 [JAMA Pediatrics June 30, 2021](#)
- 24 [US District Court Middle District of Florida Case No: 8:21-cv-1693-KKM-AEP](#)
- 25 [KHN June 1, 2022](#)
- 26 [Health Freedom Defense Fund April 20, 2022](#)

posted by Rhoda Wilson

Category

1. Crime-Justice-Terrorism-Corruption
2. Disasters-Crisis-Depopulation-Genocide
3. Health-Wellness-Healing-Nutrition & Fitness
4. Main
5. NWO-Deep State-Dictatorship-Tyrrany

Date Created

07/15/2022