

Dr. Meryl Nass: WHO's pandemic plans are built on lies and misdirection

Description

WORLD: In Rome on Friday, the Commission Medico Scientifica Indepente ("CSMI") hosted a conference titled 'Perspectives of the World Health Organisation: From Advisory Body to World Government?'

The conference was originally scheduled to take place in the Italian parliament but was moved to the next-door Capranichetta Conference Room.

According to Professor Norman Fenton, who was one of the experts who gave a presentation, the room was completely full with a mix of politicians, doctors, journalists and researchers.

Dr. Meryl Nass gave two presentations. One was on the World Health Organisation's ("WHO's") intentions of proliferating biological weapons.

The other described the soup of lies and misdirections that is enabling WHO to even propose its amendments to the International Health Regulations ("IHR") and its Pandemic Treaty, let alone think they will be adopted.

Dr. Nass is a physician and researcher who proved the world's largest anthrax epidemic was due to biological warfare. She revealed the dangers of the anthrax vaccine.

Her license was suspended for prescribing covid medications and "misinformation." She is the founder of Door to Freedom, an online collection of information to help us get our rights and freedom back.

Dr. Nass has uploaded slides from her two presentations on her Substack page HERE and an agenda for the event HERE.

The following is Dr. Nass' second presentation titled 'What is really going on with the WHO?' which also included an overview of the risks of the proposed IHR amendments and Pandemic Treaty.

In her presentation above, Dr. Mery Nass explained that the proposed Pandemic Treaty and IHR amendments did not start in a vacuum. "This is a logical conclusion of what's been going on for the

last four years and longer," she said.

Dr. Nass began by describing the constant lies we have been told over the years one of them being the origin of SARS-CoV-2.

As evidenced by the genetics, SARS-CoV-2 was clearly made in a laboratory, she said. But "we are still being told that pandemics come from the spillover from animals to humans when that is almost never true."

Why did they cover up the lab origin of the virus and insist that SARS-CoV-2 had natural origins?

"There were many reasons but I believe primary among them is the fact that they needed to insist this was natural origin in order to blame human activity for the pandemic to support the climate change agenda and to justify this crazy concept of One Health," Dr. Nass said.

Climate change and/or anthropogenic global warming is not the only ideology they are using to herd us into the future they have chosen for us. Others include identity politics and critical race theory.

But the ideology that climate change and wanton human activities cause pandemics is very important, Dr. Nass said. "I want you to think about that."

Dr. Nass has collected about 20 articles from corporate media and science journals that say climate change is causing pandemics.

In her presentation at the CSMI conference, she displayed images of some of them to demonstrate her point. One of the images she shared was from a Guardian article published on 25 March 2020:

Leading scientists also said the covid-19 outbreak was a "clear warning shot", given that far more deadly diseases existed in wildlife, and that today's civilisation was "playing with fire." They said it was almost always human behaviour that caused diseases to spill over into humans.

To prevent further outbreaks, the experts said, both global heating and the destruction of the natural world for farming, mining and housing have to end, as both drive wildlife into contact with people.

This didn't start yesterday, Dr. Nass said. In his book 'Technocracy: The Hard Road to World Order', Patrick Wood shows how some of these concepts began in the 1930s.

Pandemic Treaty and IHR Amendments

WHO's Pandemic Treaty – which is also known as the Pandemic Accord, Pandemic Agreement and WHO Convention Agreement + ("WHO CA+") – and the IHR amendments achieve the same Globalist aims. If either one of these documents is adopted, "the elites will be very happy," Dr. Nass said.

In her presentation, Dr. Nass refers to a version of the IHR amendments which is now "a year and a half old." On the other hand, the text of the proposed Pandemic Treaty has changed several times.

She explained that there have so far been eight different drafts or versions of the proposed Pandemic

Treaty. From one version to another, many things have stayed the same while others are "moving in and out."

Note: Dr. Nass gave her presentation before the latest draft was released, which we described in an article yesterday.

Using the versions she had seen, Dr. Nass selected some of the particularly egregious provisions that have appeared in most of them.

Many of the versions of the Treaty state that nations are required to pass laws or create additional administrative processes so that unlicenced vaccines and drugs can be rolled out to their populations.

"Unlicenced means untested," Dr. Nass said. "It also means, in this case, no liability for the manufacturer, WHO, the government, doctor, the building you got it in – nobody is going to be liable for injuries. And that is required if you're going to roll out an untested vaccine."

"Almost all vaccines fail in the process of development. About 75% fail before they get to a licence. That's the 75% they want to give us," she said.

Nations are also required to engage in surveillance and censorship; to monitor and censor anything that does not agree with WHO's prescribed narrative. This requirement is in both the Treaty and the IHR amendments, Dr. Nass said.

The One Health approach has been in every version of the Treaty.

"[One Health] is a bizarre concept that makes no sense except as a method to gain control over the entire world and its resources," Dr. Nass said.

"What it says is that health can't just be considered to be a human issue but you have to include animals, plants and ecosystems when you talk about health. And that's everything in the world if you think about it. And all of those could be potentially managed by the World Health Organisation," she added.

Beginning at timestamp 7:23:47, Dr. Nass briefly describes what they say One Health is and the history of the One Health concept.

Human rights have been removed from the IHR amendments. But have been "put in and then out" of the Treaty.

Why would the phrase "with full respect for the dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms of persons" be removed? It can only be that they intend to bring about the end of democracy, Dr. Nass said.

The IHR amendments expand the authority of WHO. In the current IHR, WHO's responsibilities are limited to responding to public health risks. The amendments expand WHO's involvement to all risks that have the potential to affect public health.

Alarmingly, Article 43 of the proposed IHR amendments states that WHO has the right to withhold medications from people during a declared pandemic. "No more ivermectin or hydroxychloroquine next time, globally," Dr. Nass warned.

WHO is not an honest broker, Dr. Nass said. "Its pandemic plans are built on lies and misdirection."

"As these two documents of the WHO get close to being possibly adopted or approved in some way, the fears about bird flu are ramping up in the United States," Dr. Nass said.

On 5 April, the US Centres for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC") issued a health advisory about a person in Texas who tested positive for bird flu. This was the second person to test positive for bird flu in the United States. The first case was reported in April 2022 in Colorado.

Dr. Nass believes the CDC's health advisory on 5 April demonstrates that they are on the lookout for more human cases because they want to be able to justify tighter controls.

On the same day that the CDC said a Texan had bird flu, the US Food and Drug Administration's top vaccine regulator, Peter Marks, said he was confident the US stockpile of avian flu-specific vaccines would work well if deployed.

However, Dr. Luciana Borio, a former FDA official, questioned the vaccines' potency. The vaccine's two-dose regimen, which the FDA approved in 2007, produced antibody levels expected to reduce the risk of getting avian flu by 45%.

What's important to note, Dr. Nass said, is that Marks said there's a "low threshold to pull the trigger" on the bird flu vaccines.

"Just because of being on edge from covid, there are a lot of people looking at what's going on here, and there's probably a pretty low threshold to pull the trigger here," he said.

"In other words, he is ready to vaccinate people with these already licenced bird flu vaccines for a disease that has killed, in over 20 years, less than 500 people in the world (that we know of) – that's less than 25 a year in the world – that has never killed an American, that has caused something like 800-900 cases in the world, ever (that we know of)," Dr. Nass said.

During the clinical trial of AUDENZ, one of the bird flu vaccines licenced in the US, 1 in 200 people who were vaccinated died. Compared to the placebo group where 1 in 1,000 died.

"This is the vaccine [Marks has] got a low threshold to pull the trigger on for bird flu," Dr. Nass said.

BY RHODA WILSON

Category

- 1. Big Pharma Terror-Pandemic-Lockdowns
- 2. Control-Surveillance-Privacy-Censorship

- 3. Disasters-Crisis-Depopulation-Genocide
- 4. Main
- 5. NWO-Deep State-Dictatorship-Tyrrany

Date Created

04/24/2024