



‘Did Muhammad Exist?’: ‘Intellectually exhilarating.’ ‘Leaves no stone unturned.’

Description

Mike Ramirez wrote:

Think what you wish, gravenimage.
.....

Of course, Mike Ramirez does not answer my question—in itself very telling.

More:

In another thread, I asked how you would deal with Islam. I have not said that Islam shouldn't be questioned. Mr. Spencer's book, while thoroughly researched as it may have been will not be accepted by 1.6 Billion Muslims and Islamic governments, as he has even admitted.
.....

The implication that Robert Spencer's book is some sort of failure and that he has "admitted" this to Mike Ramirez is ludicrous and dishonest. The purpose of this book is to explore the earliest historical references to Islam, *not* as Mike Ramirez claims to be accepted by all Muslims.

More:

I appreciate your defending Mr. Spencer and chuckle at your comments about me but in the final analysis, Islam is still not being deterred in its goal to attain world domination nor will your idea of keeping Muslims out of the West ever happen. As I wrote that would be discrimination.
.....

It apparently has not occurred to Mike Ramirez that anyone might actually *agree* with Robert Spencer about not wanting to live in a totalitarian society—nor in believing that such societies do not make their victims safe.

And it is unsurprising that he would "chuckle" at someone wanting to defend our freedoms. *Ugh*.

Then, it is not “discrimination” to identify a harmful ideology as such. Certainly, the US and the rest of the west were not importing hundreds of thousands of Nazis in the 1940s—the idea that we have to allow Muslims to flood into the west in the millions is not the case.

And wherever you have Muslims you have Jihad and stealth Jihad—the idea that these are unrelated strains credulity, to put it mildly

More:

To defeat an ideological enemy that wants to exterminate Western Civilization requires a plan of action. Besides keeping Muslims out of the West how would you defeat Islam and liberate the majority of the 1.6 Billion people who wish they could leave that death cult but can't upon penalty of death. How would you deal with preventing the 1400+ year spread of Islam before it overtakes America and all Western Civilization, gravenimage? Let's have your answer? Thank you.

.....

Mike Ramirez keeps positing that it is up to the civilized world to liberate Muslims from their avowed faith—but it is not. Then, his claim that the majority of Muslims actually reject Islam and want to leave—if only the ‘filthy Infidels’ would let them!—is just bizarre. There are some Muslims who want to leave Islam, but they *hardly* make up the majority of Muslims as he pretends.

In fact, if most Muslims were ipso facto apostates, there would be little threat from Jihad terror, yet this is *hardly* the case. And polls among Muslims find that not only do a large number of them openly admit to supporting Jihad terror, but even more admit to wanting to impose brutal Shariah law. Even in the US this number is over 50%. This rather gives the lie to the idea that most Muslims are somehow not Muslim at all.

Putting the brakes on Muslim immigration, exposing the threat of Islam, and demanding that our laws be enforced—including deportation of non-citizens or the naturalized after they have served their terms—would go a long way to protecting us. And no—this does not entail destroying the First Amendment for Islam.

By Ibn Warraq

Category

1. Main
2. Opinion-Comments

Tags

1. Lední medv?di
2. Susan Crockford
3. University of Victoria
4. Zemské oteplování

Date Created

07/23/2021