



## Covid injections now prohibited – New ruling by Fair Work Commission Australia

### Description

Too late for millions that were coerced at the beginning of the poisoning...why wasn't this granted earlier?

Fair Work Commission Determines that any sacking threat due to vaccine mandate non-compliance is substantial unlawful pressure and AUTOMATICALLY PROHIBITS C-19 INJECTIONS.

<https://www.justonefocus.org/breaking-news-c-19-injections-now-prohibited-new-ruling-by-fair-work-commission/>

Join me on telegram

<https://t.me/VAXXTERMINATION>

**Fair Work Commission Determines that any sacking threat due to vaccine mandate non-compliance is substantial unlawful pressure and AUTOMATICALLY PROHIBITS C-19 INJECTIONS. Download template below!**

Any injecting practitioner when presented with the ruling must IMMEDIATELY CEASE AND DESIST AND REFUSE TO INJECT. The case has now been lodged with Federal Court of Australia against Jetstar, Virgin and Qantas. Download template below.

Further information...Hi there, is there a copy of the determination available? Will this set a precedent for all employers? Thanks.

REPLY

Glenn110, (<https://rumble.com/user/Glenn110>) 9 hours ago (<https://rumble.com/v1keiml-c-19-injections-now-prohibited-by-global-law-.html#comment-149073211>)

Page 13 paragraph 56 QUOTE: "Whilst the Direction did involve 'SIGNIFICANT PRESSURE' on the Applicant to become vaccinated" ENDQUOTE. The 'significant pressure' determination is key, because an Injecting Practitioner MUST by law screen and even if any 'undue' pressure, coercion or manipulation to be injected is applied (such as a sacking threat) the injecting practitioner MUST refuse to inject by Immunisation Guideline Criterion 2 Federal law. <https://www.fwc.gov.au/document-search/view/aHR0cHM6Ly9zYXNyY2RhZGFwcmRhdWVhYS5ibG9iLmNvcmlUud2luZG93cy5uZXQvZGVj>

cc25-4073-9dbc-

0f61a8bed47f/Annunziata%24%24Cinque%24%24Jetstar%24%24Airways%24%24Pty%24%24Ltd%24%

**The Commissioner's remark about it not being unlawful pressure is immaterial, the ruling of FACT that it was 'SIGNIFICANT PRESSURE' automatically PROHIBITS the injecting practitioner injecting so the employer's sacking threat itself AUTOMATICALLY PROHIBITED the injecting practitioner injecting and when an employer puts a barrier on its own directive; it is an unlawful directive and breach of employment contract.**

You can sue the medico for criminal medical negligence, and assault and battery where they were obligated at law to screen and determine if you were there under any pressure, coercion and manipulation to be injected.

There 'IS' a law that applies to employers. It is the Federal Health Department Federal Immunisation Guidelines Criterion 2 <https://immunisationhandbook.health.gov.au/contents/vaccination-procedures/preparing-for-vaccination#criteria-for-valid-consent>.

They ALL MUST screen and determine if anybody attends under ANY undue Pressure, coercion or manipulation to be Injected (such as a sacking threat). Because they did NOT screen you and determine this, it is medical negligence, and the employer knew or ought to have known this law exists. Ignorance of LAW is no defence. So the employer actually placed a barrier on its own directive to be injected which is an unlawful directive. Any barrier placed by the employer on its own directive, is an UNLAWFUL directive.

The Australian Immunisation Handbook

(<https://immunisationhandbook.health.gov.au/contents/vaccination-procedures/preparing-for-vaccination>)

THEY VOID THEIR PROFESSIONAL INDEMNITY INSURANCE IF THEY INJECT. THEIR HOME, CAR, MORTGAGE AND ALL ASSETS ARE AT RISK.

posted by Weaver

### Category

1. Crime-Justice-Terrorism-Corruption
2. Disasters-Crisis-Depopulation-Genocide
3. Health-Wellness-Healing-Nutrition & Fitness
4. Main
5. NWO-Deep State-Dictatorship-Tyrrany

### Date Created

09/24/2022