

Another Federal Judge Rejects All of Hunter Biden's Claims for Dismissal

Description

US : While some legal analysts continue to boost Hunter Biden's legal claims, the reviews in actual courts are far less glowing. Recently, we discussed a federal judge rejecting all eight motions of Hunter Biden to dismiss his tax charges in a stinging opinion citing a conspicuous lack of actual evidence to support their claims.

Now, U.S. District Judge Maryellen Noreika has also rejected those claims in the gun case in Delaware, calling Hunter's arguments "nonsensical."

Legal experts like MSNBC's Andew Weissmann have slammed the gun charges as "an abuse." Hunter Biden's counsel has argued selective prosecution and a bar on charges (based on the defunct notorious plea deal) in both cases.

While these arguments were given great credence on some networks, they were stomped on by actual judges applying the law to the case.

Abby Lowell and Hunter's defense team have insisted that he is the victim of selective prosecution, but Special Counsel David Weiss has eviscerated those claims.

In a recent filing, Weiss dismissed many of Hunter's claims as "patently false" and noted that he virtually flaunted his violations and engaged in obvious efforts to evade taxes and hide his crimes.

Weiss further noted that other defendants did not write "a memoir in which they made countless statements proving their crimes and drawing further attention to their criminal conduct."

It was a devastating take-down of Hunter's claims, but it did not address the conspicuous omission of charges brought against Menendez, including FARA charges.

It also does not address the fact that the Justice Department not only allowed the statute of limitations to run on major crimes, but sought to finalize an obscene plea agreement with no jail time for Hunter.

It only fell apart when a judge decided to ask a couple of cursory questions of the prosecutor, who admitted that he had never seen an agreement this generous for a defendant.

Weiss noted in his filing that they filed new charges only after Hunter's legal counsel refused to change the agreement and insisted that it remained fully enforceable.

U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware

Judge Noreika is equally unimpressed by the arguments of the Biden team. She almost mockingly noted that "Defendant's articulated protected class is apparently family members of politically-important persons." She later added:

"Defendant's claim is effectively that his own father targeted him for being his son, a claim that is nonsensical under the facts here.

Regardless of whether Congressional Republicans attempted to influence the Executive Branch, there is no evidence that they were successful in doing so and, in any event, the Executive Branch prosecuting Defendant was at all relevant times (and still is) headed by Defendant's father."

The court also rejected Hunter Biden's effort to subpoena Trump, former attorney general Bill Barr, and two other senior officials who served in the Trump Justice Department. Again, she noted that it was the Biden administration that decided to prosecute Hunter Biden on the firearms offenses.

By Jonathan Turley

Category

- 1. Crime-Justice-Terrorism-Corruption
- 2. Main
- 3. Politics-Geopolitics-Gov.-Events

Date Created

04/15/2024